App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1930.

File No. 63-3

Serial Nos. 1+2

Later References
Secy 3-30-1-2
Secy 32-3:-1+2
M(17-31(93)1041
Mer 47-23 1+2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 1+2

Part B for August 1930.

SUBJECT

Continuance of the Grant-in-aid to certain Veda Patasalas in the State at rates noted against each during the year 1930-31 in addition to the others in whose cases sanction has been accorded already.

G.O. No 4064-5 dated 20.8.30

To the Secy. Comm^r " Comptroller

Sanctioned with certain observations.

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 63 of 1930.

Serial No.

Letter no 1925/29-30 dated the 30th/31st July 1930 from the Inspector General of Forests requesting sanction to the continuance of the grant-in-aid to certain Vedda Patanalas in the State at rates noted against each, during the year 1930-31, in addition to the sum in whose case sanction has already been accorded the extra cost of Rs 1140/-, over the budget allotment for the purpose during the year, being met from the savings Realized of the Forest Grant for 1930-31

The undersigned of the Executive Council

(1) To continue the grant-in-aid to the Vedda Patanalas

(2) To direct the extra cost to the Vedda Patanalas

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPB—80,000—26-7-29

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 63 of 1930.

Serial No.

(3) to [illegible]
[illegible] National [illegible]
[illegible] 10 per cent on acc[illegible]
in it, - & next [illegible]
[illegible] that [illegible]
his [illegible]
(4) to increase the present
[illegible] to the minimum
[illegible] with a view to [illegible]
[illegible]
2 The [illegible]
is [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
3 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

NOTE—Contn sheet

W D 666—GPB—50,000—26-4-29

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. of 192 .

Serial No.

Questions
Grant in aid for Agriculture
Rs 1600/- balance of the Gen Rev Fund
The opening was expected to be only Rs. 1600.
4/8/30
H. Lacy)

5.8.30

1788/5788

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL
6 AUG 1930
No. 890.

5 The M.'s proposals regarding the meeting of the extra cost are indefinite and cannot be accepted - Unless he is able to point out specific items of savings in the sanctioned budget of the Gen Rev Fund, The Govt of India's assistance on a/c grant-in-aid should be limited to the budget provision of Rs 3000 (1st instalment)

5/8/30

6 The grants to various institutions which are in any way connected with Agriculture should be continued. If there is no margin for new expenditure increases can be allowed. Please see what the demand is for meeting Communal

6.8.30

NOTE—Contn sheet.

WD 666—GPB—50,000—26-7-29

General and Revenue Secretariat | Irrig File No. 63 of 1920

Serial No.

The extra amount required during the year, included in the Contained in the Estt is Rs 1140/- and as the Expl Irrig Jai Jama Land of the Current year has been sanctioned, with due regard to the actual requirement of each item of expenditure, it will not be possible to suggest any reappropriation from the Sanctioned grant. The extra amount required therefore is to come out of the Closing balance of the preceding year, which is estimated at Rs 1,60,000 as suggested by the Accountant General. Reasons for the 8 items are however given in the items of expenditure in the Revised Estimates for 1920-21 Statement of Rs 5/ Irrigation Jai Jama not having been prepared at the time of framing the Revised Estimates for 1920-21, enclosed herewith is the Statement and the proforma which are of an optional nature, but in view of the small amt

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-1-29

General and Revenue Secretariat. 122-22 File No. of 1930.

Serial No.

of the cost involved in carrying out the scheme and the [illegible] work out [illegible] in the Memorandum and the remarks made with regard thereto. [illegible] for [illegible].

Ed. H. Lacy
11/8/30

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MINISTER
13 AUG. 1930
No. 1022

Resubmitted for action by Ministers.
1/
11/8/30

The M.C's proposals may be sanctioned. He may be informed that it is possible to consider any claims for new Schools or for increase of grants to old Schools as the finances

2155
10/8

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

on the assumption that the extra items recommended in Para [illegible] increases in [illegible] and [illegible] increase in the Building Grant are approved.

H. Lacy

WD 0st—6PB—[illegible]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mwz F

of 1930

Serial No.	
	13) Issue VS 16.8.30.
2.	To The Mwz Comr Comptroller no 404-S of dtd 8-30 Sanctions the proposals cited, with the observation that it is not possible to sanction ~~to grant~~ any more grants for new parasalas or for increase of grants to old ones.

B. 7858 26.8.30 22/8/30

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

M. C. 13]

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE

Bangalore, 30th July 1930.

37

Mus 63-30-1



No. 1925/29-30

FROM

Rajakaryapravina
P. G. D'SOUZA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

I have the honor to state that the marginally noted 23 Patasalas were given Grant-in-aid during 1929-30 from the provision made therefor in the General Muzrai Fund Budget of the year. Out of this, individual sanctions for the continuance of the first ten Patasalas during the current year have already been accorded and arrangements are being made to draw and disburse their grants. Fresh sanction is necessary for the continuance of the remaining ones during the year. Of these Patasalas the one at Nadahalli (item No.18) has been recommended both by the Patasala Committee and the Inspector of Sanskrit Schools in Mysore to be abolished for the present. This need not therefore be continued.

		Rs.
1.	Nagadi	15/- p.m.
2.	Nelamangala	15/- "
3.	Bangalore Lingayat Patasala	40/- "
4.	Devaraya Samudra	15/- "
5.	Pavagada	10/- "
6.	Seringapatam	15/- "
7.	Thirimakudlu	15/- "
8.	Nagamangala	10/- "
9.	Chitaldrug	15/- "
10.	Sravanabelabola	35/- "
		185/-
11.	Bangalore Arya Vaisya Patasala	10/- "
12.	Siddaganga	20/- "
13.	Amritur	10/- "
14.	Tiptur	15/- "
15.	Srinivasapur	10/- "
16.	Bellur	10/- "
17.	Kikkeri	10/- "
18.	Nadahalli	10/- "
19.	Hudagodu	10/- "
20.	Kudli	10/- "
21.	Hassan	15/- "
22.	Parasuramapura	10/- "
23.	Davangere	15/- "
		155/-

The school at Siddaganga is reported to be in a very flourishing

flourishing condition and a large amount is being spent by the Swamiji alu for its maintenance, which he finds it very hard to meet out of the resources at his disposal. He has been repeatedly representing that the grant of Rs.20/- per mensem now sanctioned to the Patasala is too small to maintain it efficiently and that it may be increased. In view of the good work that is being turned out in this institution, I beg to recommend that the grant may be increased by Rs.10/- per --- mensem, the amount being met out of the savings effected by the ----- abolition of the Kadahalli Patasala. I have inspected the institution and have been greatly struck by the interest taken in the pupils and arrangements made for their instruction. A separate recommendation has also been made for paying Rs.5/- more per mensem to the Veda -- Patasala at Chitaldrug for Purana recital in it during the year.

The cost involved by the above proposal comes up to Rs.4,140/- during the year 1930-31 while there is only a provision of Rs.3000/ made in the Budget estimates of the General Muzrai Funds for the -- year. The cost of meeting the grants of the 10 Patasalas alone for whose continuance sanction has already been obtained individually comes up to Rs.2,220/- and the balance left over viz., Rs.780/- will not suffice for meeting the grants of the remaining Patasalas which have been given grants last year and are reported to be doing good work and therefore require to be continued this year also. The General Muzrai Funds has been receiving additions on the income side every year by the crediting of income from the defunct institutions. The extra cost involved on this behalf may very well be met from the --- funds. There is besides a large opening balance which also can be utilised to meet the cost of the above proposals.

In the circumstances, I request that sanction may kindly be obtained for the ---- continuance of the ---- Patasalas noted in the margin which involves an extra cost of ------ Rs.1,140/- over and ----- above the amount sanctioned in the current years -------

1. Bangalore Arya Vaisya Patasala	Rs.10/ p.m.	
2. Siddaganga ..	Rs.30/- "	
3. Amritur (Kunigal Tk.)	Rs.10/- "	
4. Tiptur ..	Rs.15/- "	
5. Srinivasapur ..	Rs.10/- "	
6. Bellur ..	Rs.10/- "	
7. Kikkeri ..	Rs.10/- "	
8. Hudagodu ..	Rs.10/- "	
9. Kudli ..	Rs.10/- "	
10. Hassan ..	Rs.15/- "	
11. Parasuramapura ..	Rs.10/- "	
12. Davangere ..	Rs.15/- "	
13. Puranaservice in the Chitaldrug Patasala	Rs. 5/- "	
	Rs. 160/-	

Bud

Budget, the same being met from the opening balance of the General - Muzrai Funds for the year 1930-31.

I solicit the favour of early sanction.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read:-

The following letter No. 1925/29-30 dated the 30th/31st July 1930 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore:-

I have the honor to state that the marginally noted 23 Patasalas were given grant-in-aid during 1929-30 from the provision made therefor in the General Muzrai Fund Budget of the year. Out of this, individual sanctions for the continuance of the first ten Patasalas during the current year have already been accorded and arrangements are being made to draw and disburse their grants. Fresh sanction is necessary for the continuance of the remaining ones during the year. Of these Patasalas the one at Nadahalli (item No. 18) has been recommended both by the Patasala Committee and the Inspector of Sanskrit Schools in Mysore to be abolished for the present. This need not therefore be continued.

	Rs.
1. Magadi	15/-p.m.
2. Nelamangala	15/-p.m.
3. Bangalore Lingayat Patasala ..	40/-p.m.
4. Devaraya Samudra ..	15/-p.m.
5. Pavagada	10/-p.m.
6. Seringapatam	15/-p.m.
7. Thirimakudlu	15/-p.m.
8. Nagamangala	10/-p.m.
9. Chitaldrug	15/-p.m.
10. Sravanabelagola	35/- "
	185/-
11. Bangalore Arya Vaisya Patasala	10/-p.m.
12. Siddaganga	20/- "
13. Amritur	10/- "
14. Tiptur	15/- "
15. Srinivasapur	10/- "
16. Bellur	10/- "
17. Kikkeri	10/- "
18. Nadahalli	10/- "
19. Mudagodu	10/- "
20. Kudli	10/- "
21. Hassan	15/- "
22. Parasuramapura	10/- "
23. Davangere	15/- "

The school at Siddaganga is reported to be in a very flourishing condition and a large amount is being spent by the Swamigalu for its maintenance, which he finds it very hard to meet out of the resources at his disposal. He has been repeatedly representing that the grant of Rs. 20/- per mensem now sanctioned to the Patasala is too small to maintain it efficiently and that it may be increased. In view of the good work that is being turned out in this institution, I beg to -

recommend that the grant may be increased by Rs. 10/- per mensem, the amount being met out of the savings effected by the abolition of the Madahalli Patasala. I have inspected the institution and have been greatly struck by the interest taken in the pupils and arrangements made for their instruction. A separate recommendation has also been made for paying Rs. 5/- more per mensem to the Veda Patasala at Chitaldrug for urana rehital in it during the year.

The cost involved by the above proposal comes up to Rs. 4,140/- during the year 1930-31 while there is only a provision of Rs. 3000/- made in the Budget estimates of the General Muzrai Funds for the year. The cost of meeting the grants of the 10 Patasalas alone for whose continuance sanction has already been obtained individually comes up to Rs.2,220/- and the balance left over viz., Rs.780/- will not suffice for meeting the grants of the remaining Patasalas which have been given grants last year and are reported to be doing good work and therefore required to be continued this year also. The General Muzrai Fund has been receiving additions on the income side every year by the creditary income from the defunct institutions. The extra cost involved on this behalf may very well be met from the funds. There is besides a large opening balance which also can be utilised to meet the cost of the above proposals.

In these circumstances, I request that sanction may kindly be obtained for the continuance of the Patasalas noted in the margin which involves an extra cost of Rs. 1,140/- over and above the amount sanctioned in the current years Budget, the same being met from the opening balance of the General Muzrai Funds for the year 1930-31.

1.	Mangalore Arya Vaisya Patasala	Rs.10/- p.m.
2.	Siddaganga	Rs.30/- p.m.
3.	Amritur(Kunigal Taluk)	Rs.10/- p.m.
4.	Tiptur	Rs.15/- p.m.
5.	Srinivasapur	Rs.10/- "
6.	Bellur	Rs.10/- "
7.	Kikkeri	Rs.10/- "
8.	Mudagodu	Rs.10/- "
9.	Kudli	Rs.10/- "
10.	Hassan	Rs.15/- "
11.	Parasuramapura	Rs.10/- "
12.	Davangere	Rs.15/- "
13.	Purana service in the Chitaldrug patasala	Rs. 5/- "

I solicit the favour of early sanction.

sanction.

- - - - - -

O r d e r No. 406-S muz' 63-30 2

Dated, Bangalore the 19th August 1 9 3 0 .

The proposals of the Muzrai Commissioner are sanctioned, with the observation that it is not possible to sanction any more grants for new Patasalas or for increase of grants to old ones, as the resources earmarked for the purpose have been exhausted.

V Subrahmanyastri

For Financial Secretary to Government.

cc

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

S.K.A.4

19.8.30

G.R.S. App. F VI G-2]

SUBJECT..... .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.... 166 .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Cauvery)

the 192 .

Date of issue 20-8-1930

Signed by Dr. V. P. Sastri

May this be

i Printed?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Sec Cauvery

File No. C S - 30

Serial No. 2.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read –

The following letter No 1925/24-30 dated the 30th/31st July 1930 from the Cauvery Canning in Mysore:-

(Here enter the letter in extenso)

~~Order Thereon~~ No.

dated No. 4044 –:

The Investments of the Cauvery Canning are sanctioned, with the observation that it is not proper to borrow any more Grants for Irrigation Purposes

increase of grants to
Mahomedans, as the pre
Census cannot made
for the two Years have
been exhausted.

15/8

15.8.30 Ready to Send

The Punjab Commissioner
reports.
no Comments to be sent

4/8/30

List of enclosure.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 079—GPB—8000—10-10 29

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read:-

The following letter No. 1925/20-30 dated the 30th/31st July 1930 from the Huzrai Commissioner in Mysore:-

I have the honor to state that the marginally noted 23 Patasalas were given grant-in-aid during 1929-30 from the provision made therefor in the General Huzrai Fund Budget of the year. Out of this, individual sanctions for the continuance of the first ten Patasalas during the current year have already been accorded and arrangements are being made to draw and disburse their grants. Fresh sanction is necessary for the continuance of the remaining ones during the year. Of these Patasalas the one at Nadahalli (item no, 18) has been recommended both by the Patasala Committee and the Inspector of Sanskrit Schools in Mysore to be abolished for the present. This need not therefore be continued.

		Rs.
1.	Nagadi	15/-p.m.
2.	Nelamangala	15/-p.m.
3.	Bangalore Lingayat Patasala ..	40/-p.m.
4.	Devaraya Samudra ..	15/-p.m.
5.	Pavagada	10/-p.m.
6.	Seringapatam	15/-p.m.
7.	Thirimakudlu	15/-p.m.
8.	Nagamangala	10/-p.m.
9.	Chitaldrug	15/-p.m.
10.	Sravanabelagola	35/- "
		185/-
11.	Bangalore Arya Vaisya Patasala	10/-p.m.
12.	Siddaganga	20/- "
13.	Amritur	10/- "
14.	Tiptur	15/- "
15.	Srinivasapur	10/- "
16.	Bellur	10/- "
17.	Kikkeri	10/- "
18.	Nadahalli	10/- "
19.	Mudagodu	10/- "
20.	Kudli	10/- "
21.	Hassan	15/- "
22.	Parasuramapura	10/- "
23.	Davangere	15/- "

The school at Siddaganga is reported to be in a very flourishing condition and a large amount is being spent by the Swamigalu for its maintenance, which he finds it very hard to meet out of the resources at his disposal. He has been repeatedly representing that the grant of Rs. 20/- per mensem now sanctioned to the Patasala is too small to maintain it efficiently and that it may be increased. In view of the good work that is being turned out in this institution, I beg to -

recommend that the grant may be increased by Rs. 10/- per mensem, the amount being met out of the savings effected by the abolition of the Nadahalli Patasala. I have inspected the institution and have been greatly struck by the interest taken in the pupils and arrangements made for their instruction. A separate recommendation has also been made for paying Rs. 5/- more per mensem to the Veda Patasala at Chitaldrug for purana rehital in it during the year.

The cost involved by the above proposal comes up to Rs. 4,140/- during the year 1930-31 while there is only a provision of Rs. 3000/- made in the Budget estimates of the General Muzrai Funds for the year. The cost of meeting the grants of the 10 Patasalas alone for whose continuance sanction has already been obtained individually comes up to Rs.2,220/- and the balance left over viz., Rs.780/- will not suffice for meeting the grants of the remaining Patasalas which have been given grants last year and are reported to be doing good work and therefore required to be continued this year also. The General Muzrai Funds has been receiving additions on the income side every year by the creditings of the income from the defunct institutions. The extra cost involved on this behalf may very well be met from the funds. There is besides a large opening balance which also can be utilised to meet the cost of the above proposals.

In these circumstances, I request that sanction may kindly be obtained for the continuance of the Patasalas noted in the margin which involves an extra cost of Rs. 1,140/- over and above the amount sanctioned in the current years Budget, the same being met from the opening balance of the General Muzrai Funds for the year 1930-31.

1.	Bangalore Arya Vaisya Patasala	Rs.10/- p.m.
2.	Siddaganga	Rs.30/- p.m.
3.	Amritur(Kunigal Taluk)	Rs.10/- p.m.
4.	Tiptur	Rs.15/- p.m.
5.	Srinivasapur	Rs.10/- "
6.	Bellur	Rs.10/- "
7.	Kikkeri	Rs.10/- "
8.	Mudagodu	Rs.10/- "
9.	Kudli	Rs.10/- "
10.	Hassan	Rs.15/- "
11.	Parasuramapura	Rs.10/- "
12.	Davangere	Rs.15/- "
13.	Purana service in the Chitaldrug patasala	Rs. 5/- "

I solicit the favour of early sanction.

sanction.

- - - - - -

Order No. 404-5 Muz 63-30-2

Dated, Bangalore the 19/20th August 1930.

The proposals of the Muzrai Commissioner are sanctioned, with the observation that it is not possible to sanction any more grants for new Patacalas or for increase of grants to old ones, as the resources earmarked for the purpose have been exhausted.

For Financial Secretary to Government.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

S.K.. .4

19.8.30